AGRI MAGAZINE
(International E-Magazine for Agricultural Articles)
Volume: 03, Issue: 11 (November, 2026)
Available online at http://www.agrimagazine.in
©Agri Magazine, ISSN: 3048-8656

How Much Fertilizer is Enough? Understanding Crop Yield Response for Better Nutrient Management

*Saketi Radha Krishna[1], Kotha Shiva Prasad[2] and Snehasis Das[3]
[1]Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, IGKV, Raipur, India
[2]Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agricultural Meteorology, IGKV, Raipur, India
[3]M. Sc Scholar, Department of Agricultural Statistics, IGKV, Raipur, India
*Corresponding Author's email: saketiradhakrishna@gmail.com

Fertilizers play an important role in increasing agricultural productivity and ensuring food security. They supply essential nutrients required for proper plant growth, development and yield improvement. However, the success of fertilizer application depends not only on the quantity of nutrients supplied but also on applying them at the right rate. Insufficient fertilizer application may result in nutrient deficiencies and reduced crop productivity, whereas excessive application increases cultivation costs, reduces farmers' profits and may cause environmental pollution. Therefore, determining the optimum fertilizer application rate is essential for achieving higher crop productivity and economic returns. One of the important approaches used to understand the relationship between fertilizer application and crop yield is the **crop yield response function**. It explains how crop yield changes with increasing nutrient application and helps identify the fertilizer rate that provides maximum economic benefit.

Understanding the Relationship Between Fertilizer and Crop Yield

Crop yield does not increase indefinitely with increasing fertilizer application. Initially, the addition of nutrients generally promotes plant growth and increases productivity. However, as fertilizer application increases, the additional yield obtained from each successive application gradually decreases. Beyond a certain level, further fertilizer application may provide little or no additional yield and can sometimes even reduce productivity. For example, when nitrogen is applied to a nutrient-deficient crop, yield may increase considerably. However, after reaching an adequate nitrogen level, additional application may not provide a proportional increase in yield. This relationship highlights the importance of identifying the optimum nutrient application rate rather than simply applying more fertilizer to obtain higher yields. Cerrato and Blackmer (1990), while evaluating different nitrogen response models using 12 site-years of corn experiments, observed considerable differences among models in estimating economically optimum nitrogen rates. Their findings highlighted the importance of selecting an appropriate response function for reliable fertilizer recommendations.

Different Approaches to Understanding Crop Yield Response

Researchers have developed several mathematical models to describe the relationship between nutrient application and crop yield. These models help estimate optimum fertilizer requirements under different crop-growing conditions.

1. Quadratic Response Function (QR)

The quadratic response function explains that crop yield initially increases with increasing fertilizer application, reaches a maximum level and subsequently decreases when excessive fertilizer is applied. This model is useful for describing situations where high nutrient application may negatively affect crop productivity. Frank *et al.* (1990) compared alternative

crop response models for nitrogen and phosphorus in corn and demonstrated the importance of considering the functional relationship between nutrient application and crop production.

2. Mitscherlich-Baule Function (MB)

The Mitscherlich-Baule function describes a situation in which crop yield increases rapidly with the initial application of nutrients, but the rate of yield improvement gradually decreases as fertilizer application increases. Eventually, yield approaches a maximum level. Frank *et al.* (1990) found that the Mitscherlich-Baule model provided a suitable representation of corn response to nitrogen and phosphorus in their experimental analysis.

3. Linear Response Plateau Function (LRP)

The linear response plateau function explains that crop yield increases linearly with fertilizer application until a particular nutrient level is reached. Beyond this point, yield remains constant despite further fertilizer application. Everaarts and van den Berg (1996), while comparing three nitrogen response models in cauliflower, found that the linear plateau model provided the best estimates of optimum fertilizer rates in individual experiments. Their findings also highlighted the importance of considering mineral nitrogen already present in the soil.

4. Quadratic Response Plateau Function (QRP)

The quadratic response plateau function combines the characteristics of quadratic and plateau models. Under this approach, crop yield increases in a curved pattern as fertilizer application increases and eventually reaches a constant maximum level. Unlike the conventional quadratic function, it does not predict a decline in yield after reaching the plateau. Alivelu *et al.* (2006) evaluated quadratic and quadratic response plateau models using rice experimental data from different regions of India. They observed that the conventional quadratic model generally predicted higher maximum yields and optimum nitrogen rates than the quadratic response plateau model.

5. Linear Response Stochastic Plateau Function (LRSP)

Field conditions are rarely identical across seasons and locations. Variations in rainfall, temperature, soil fertility and other environmental factors can influence crop yield. The linear response stochastic plateau function considers these random variations while explaining the linear increase in yield followed by a plateau. Tembo *et al.* (2008) developed a stochastic plateau response model and applied it to wheat production to estimate economically optimum nitrogen application rates. Their study demonstrated the usefulness of incorporating random variations in crop yield response analysis.

6. Quadratic Response Stochastic Plateau Function (QRSP)

The quadratic response stochastic plateau function combines a curved yield response with a variable plateau. It considers both the gradual increase in crop yield with nutrient application and the influence of random environmental conditions on maximum yield. Boyer *et al.* (2013) compared deterministic and stochastic nitrogen response plateau functions for corn grown after corn, cotton and soybean. Their findings indicated that stochastic response functions were more appropriate than deterministic models, with the linear response stochastic plateau providing the best fit to their experimental data.

Why Is the Optimum Fertilizer Rate Important?

The highest crop yield does not always mean the highest profit. Fertilizer purchase involves expenditure, and farmers must consider both the additional income obtained from increased production and the additional cost of fertilizer application. The economically optimum fertilizer rate is reached when the additional revenue generated by applying more fertilizer becomes equal to the additional cost of that fertilizer. Applying nutrients beyond this point may increase production costs without providing sufficient economic benefits. Therefore, crop yield response functions are valuable tools for developing fertilizer recommendations that balance crop productivity, input costs and farm profitability.

Considering Environmental Variations in Fertilizer Management

Agricultural production is strongly influenced by environmental conditions. Even when the same fertilizer rate is applied, crop yield may differ between seasons and locations due to variations in rainfall, temperature, irrigation, pest incidence and soil conditions. Conventional response functions generally assume fixed production conditions. However, stochastic response functions incorporate random variations and provide an opportunity to understand how environmental uncertainty influences crop yield and fertilizer requirements. Such approaches are particularly important for developing nutrient management strategies under changing and unpredictable climatic conditions.

Nutrient Carryover: Making Better Use of Residual Soil Nutrients

An important aspect of fertilizer management is nutrient carryover. Crops do not utilize all the nutrients supplied through fertilizers during a single growing season. A portion of the applied nutrients may remain in the soil and become available to subsequent crops, while another portion may be lost through different processes. For example, residual potassium from the previous crop may contribute to the nutrient requirement of the succeeding crop. Harper *et al.* (2012) investigated potassium carryover dynamics in cotton production using multi-year field trial information. Their findings demonstrated that considering residual potassium and soil test information can improve fertilizer management decisions and farm profitability. Similarly, Dhakal *et al.* (2019) developed a dynamic optimization approach for nitrogen management in cotton production by incorporating nitrogen carryover information. Their findings supported the use of stochastic plateau functions combined with nutrient carryover information for developing improved fertilizer recommendations. Considering nutrient carryover while planning fertilizer application can improve nutrient use efficiency, reduce fertilizer expenditure and support sustainable crop production.

Bayesian Estimation for Better Fertilizer Recommendations

Bayesian estimation is another statistical approach discussed in the review. It combines information from previous research with observations obtained from current experiments to estimate fertilizer response parameters. This approach is particularly useful in situations where experimental observations are limited or uncertain. By incorporating prior information and present experimental evidence, Bayesian methods can improve the estimation of optimum nutrient application rates and help researchers make more informed fertilizer recommendations.

The Way Forward

Efficient fertilizer management requires more than simply increasing nutrient application. It involves understanding crop nutrient requirements, soil fertility, environmental conditions, fertilizer prices and the availability of residual nutrients. No single crop yield response function is suitable for every crop, soil type and growing environment. Among the different approaches discussed, stochastic plateau models offer promising opportunities because they account for environmental variability while estimating crop yield responses. However, their suitability should be evaluated under different crops, locations and soil conditions. Further research is also required to integrate nutrient carryover information and economic considerations into fertilizer recommendation systems.

Conclusion

Crop yield response functions provide an important scientific basis for determining appropriate fertilizer application rates. Understanding how crop yield responds to increasing nutrient supply helps farmers avoid both under-fertilization and excessive fertilizer application. Integrating economic considerations, environmental variability and nutrient carryover into fertilizer management can improve nutrient use efficiency, increase farm profitability and reduce environmental impacts. Ultimately, the goal of efficient nutrient management should not be merely to maximize crop yield but to achieve sustainable production with maximum economic returns and responsible use of agricultural resources.

References

1. Boyer CN, Larson JA, Roberts RK, McClure AT, Tyler DD and Zhou V. (2013). Stochastic corn yield response functions to nitrogen for corn after corn, corn after cotton, and corn after soybeans. *Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics*, 45(4), 669-681. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1074070800005198
2. Cerrato ME and Blackmer AM. (1990). Comparison of models for describing corn yield response to nitrogen fertilizer. *Agronomy Journal*, 82(1), 138-143. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1990.00021962008200010030x
3. Dhakal C, Lange K, Parajulee MN and Segarra, E. (2019). Dynamic optimization of nitrogen in plateau cotton yield functions with nitrogen carryover considerations. *Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics*, 51(3), 385-401. https://doi.org/10.1017/aae.2019.6
4. Everaarts AP and van den Berg W. (1996). A comparison of three nitrogen response models for cauliflower. *Acta Horticulturae*, 428, 171-179. https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.1996.428.18
5. Frank MD, Beattie BR and Embleton ME. (1990). A comparison of alternative crop response models. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 72(3), 597-603. https://doi.org/10.2307/1243029
6. Harper DC, Lambert DM, Larson JA and Gwathmey C O. (2012). Potassium carryover dynamics and optimal application policies in cotton production. *Agricultural Systems*, 106(1), 84-93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2011.10.014
7. Tembo G, Brorsen BW, Epplin FM and Tostão E. (2008). Crop input response functions with stochastic plateaus. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 90(2), 424-434. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8276.2007.01123.x
8. Alivelu K, Subba Rao A, Sanjay S, Singh KN, Raju NS and Madhuri P. (2006). Prediction of optimal nitrogen application rate of rice based on soil test values. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 25(1), 71-73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2005.10.011