



AGRI MAGAZINE

(International E-Magazine for Agricultural Articles)

Volume: 03, Issue: 09 (September, 2026)

Available online at <http://www.agrimagazine.in>

©Agri Magazine, ISSN: 3048-8656

Single Cell Protein: Revolutionizing Animal Nutrition and Sustainable Feed Security

Sunita Lanje and *Hrishikesh Tekade

PhD Scholar, ICAR- Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly.
Uttar Pradesh, India

*Corresponding Author's email: hrishikeshstekade2000@gmail.com

With the escalating global population and surging demand for livestock products, conventional agricultural practices face severe land, water, and environmental constraints. Single Cell Protein (SCP)—derived from dead, dried cells of microorganisms like microalgae, bacteria, yeast, and fungi—offers a highly sustainable, land-free, and high-protein alternative (60–80% DM basis). Rich in essential amino acids (notably lysine and methionine), B-complex vitamins, and functional molecules, SCP can replace 10–20% of traditional protein sources (e.g., fishmeal, soybean meal) in diets for poultry, swine, calves, and aquaculture without compromising growth or health. This article explores the biological traits, cultivation substrates, fermentation mechanisms, post-harvest processing, animal feed benefits, and existing limitations of SCP technologies.

Introduction

The world faces a dual challenge: satisfying an unprecedented demand for animal protein while staying within planetary ecological boundaries. Conventional agriculture relies heavily on soybean meal and fishmeal for livestock feeds—industries tied to deforestation, land degradation, and marine ecosystem depletion. Modern farming requires non-traditional feed inputs to achieve food security and sustainable development goals. Single Cell Protein (SCP), or microbial protein, refers to dried microbial cells (microalgae, bacteria, yeast, or filamentous fungi) or purified protein extracts derived from culture. Used as a feed supplement for calves, fattening pigs, poultry, fish, and companion animals, SCP yields a protein content between 60% and 80% on a dry matter basis. Its amino acid spectrum—rich in lysine and methionine—makes it a nutritional match for traditional high-grade concentrates.

Criteria for Selecting Industrial SCP Microorganisms

Selecting the right microbial strain is vital for safety, process efficiency, and feed quality. Strains must meet strict criteria:

Absence of Pathogenicity & Toxicity: Strains must be Non-Pathogenic and free from mycotoxins or endotoxins.

Protein Content & Quality: High baseline crude protein content (60–80%) with balanced essential amino acids.

Digestibility & Palatability: Good organoleptic profile, low cell wall resistance, and easy intake by target animals.

Rapid Growth Rate: High specific growth rates and short generation times to maximize yield per unit time.

Environmental Adaptability: Robust tolerance to varied pH levels, high temperatures, or specific mineral conditions.

Substrate Versatility: Efficient uptake of low-cost carbon and nitrogen sources, including agro-industrial byproducts.

Microorganisms, Substrates, Pros & Cons

Different microbial groups vary in nutritional value, suitable substrates, and technical trade-offs:

Parameter	Microalgae	Bacteria	Fungi (Yeast & Mold)
Organism Type	Phototrophic organisms	Unicellular prokaryotes	Unicellular yeast & filamentous molds
Nutritional Highlights	Rich in proteins, omega-3 fatty acids, bioactives. Low nucleic acids (3–8%).	Highest protein content (50–80%), vitamins, phospholipids, and functional molecules.	Yeast: 38–52% protein, malic acid. Molds: highly digestible for aquafeeds, up to 10% nucleic acid.
Substrates Used	CO ₂ , sunlight, wastewater, agricultural runoff.	Carbohydrates, ethanol, methanol, natural gas, liquid hydrocarbons.	Starch, fruit pulp, molasses, fruit waste, lignocellulosic biomass.
Key Advantages	Simple cultivation, solar energy utilization, fast growth rate.	Rapid generation time, wide substrate range, rich in B-vitamins.	Grows at acidic pH, easy harvesting, high malic acid content.
Potential Disadvantages	Cell wall disruption needed for digestibility, large surface area required, contamination risks.	Palatability challenges, high nucleic acid content, toxin screening required.	Slower growth rate than bacteria, lower protein range (45–65%).
Representative Examples	Spirulina spp., Chlorella, stigmatophora, Dunaliella tertiolecta	Methylobacterium extorquens, Methylococcus capsulatus, Rhodobacter sphaeroides	Yeast: Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Kluyveromyces marxianus. Molds: Aspergillus oryzae, Yarrowia lipolytica

Step-by-Step Mechanism of SCP Production

SCP production relies on controlled bioreactor systems, operating via submerged or semi-solid fermentation:

Step 1: Substrate & Strain Selection — Choose fast-growing, non-toxic strains paired with cheap local substrates (e.g., molasses, natural gas, wood hydrolysates). Substrate choice balances cost, oxygen transfer demand, and fermenter cooling requirements.

Step 2: Media Preparation & Sterilization — Adjust substrate nutrients, pH, and mineral balance, followed by heat or filtration sterilization to prevent contamination.

Step 3: Bioreactor Fermentation — Inoculate the culture into industrial fermenters under controlled temperature, agitation, aeration, and pH to maximize cell doubling rates.

Step 4: Cell Harvesting & Separation — Separate biomass from spent culture broth using centrifugation, filtration, or flocculation.

Step 5: Post-Harvest Processing & Nucleic Acid Reduction — Apply heat or enzymatic treatment to reduce nucleic acid content (RNA/DNA) to safe dietary thresholds.

Step 6: Drying & Purification — Thermal drying (spray-drying or drum-drying) stabilizes the product, reduces water activity, and converts biomass into a transportable powder.

Benefits of SCP in Animal Feed

Direct Protein Substitution: Replaces 10–20% of high-cost fishmeal or soybean meal in poultry, swine, calf, and aquaculture feeds without diminishing performance.

Land-Free & Sustainable: Requires zero arable farmland and significantly less fresh water than terrestrial crop protein sources.

Superior Amino Acid Profile: Provides key essential amino acids like methionine and lysine that support lean tissue accretion and growth.

Year-Round Production: Operates independently of seasonal, weather, or climatic shifts.

Comparative Analysis: SCP vs. Azolla

Evaluating microbial protein against high-yield green aquatic crops highlights distinct operational advantages:

Feature / Attribute	Single Cell Protein (SCP)	Azolla (Aquatic Fern)
Primary Organism Class	Microorganisms (Bacteria, Yeast, Molds, Microalgae)	Free-floating vascular fern + <i>Anabaena azollae</i>
Protein Content (% DM)	60% – 80%	19% – 30%
Land Requirement	Zero arable land (Vertical fermenters)	Ponds / Shallow troughs needed
Growth Substrates / Inputs	Industrial wastes, natural gas, sugars, alcohol, agro-residuals	Water, soil base, phosphorus, light, minimal dung
Nucleic Acid Concentration	High (Requires enzymatic/heat processing)	Low (Safe for direct feeding)
Processing & Infrastructure	Requires bioreactor facilities & drying	On-farm trough cultivation, direct fresh feeding
Best Suited Livestock	Poultry, swine, calves, aquaculture feeds	Ruminants, pigs, ducks, small-scale poultry & rabbits

Technological Challenges & Limitations

High Initial Capital Expenditure: Fermentation facilities and downstream extraction equipment require substantial upfront investment.

Nucleic Acid Toxicity Risks: High RNA/DNA content in bacterial and mold biomass can lead to uric acid buildup or digestive toxicity if unextracted.

Downstream Processing Bottlenecks: Drying, cell disruption, and toxin removal remain technically demanding and energy-intensive steps.

Consumer & Market Acceptance: Commercial adoption faces regulatory checks and public perception barriers regarding microbial inputs in animal feeds.

Conclusion

Single Cell Protein represents a viable strategy to relieve pressure on arable land while meeting global demand for livestock feed. Combining high protein yields, fast doubling times, and waste-valorization capabilities, SCP can transform commercial feed manufacturing. Addressing downstream cost bottlenecks and expanding production scale will position microbial protein alongside green crops like Azolla as staples of sustainable animal nutrition.

References

1. Anupama, & Ravindra, P. (2000). Value addition to banana waste by solid state fermentation using *Rasamsonia emersonii*. *Biotechnology Advances*, 18(6), 459-479.
2. Nasser, A. T., Rasoul-Amini, S., Morowvat, M. H., & Ghasemi, Y. (2011). Single cell protein: production and process. *American Journal of Food Technology*, 6(2), 103-116.
3. Ritala, A., Häkkinen, S. T., Toivari, M., & Wiebe, M. G. (2017). Single cell protein—state-of-the-art, challenges and prospects. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 101(11), 4325-4340.
4. Srividya, A. R., Soni, S., & Dhanabal, S. P. (2013). Single cell protein: a review. *International Journal of Pharma and Bio Sciences*, 4(4), 486-494.