AGRI MAGAZINE
(International E-Magazine for Agricultural Articles)
Volume: 03, Issue: 06 (June, 2026)
Available online at http://www.agrimagazine.in

Molecular Assisted Breeding for Sustainable Agriculture

*Reena Kumari, Ana Soni, Gauri Sharma, Ipshita, Srijal, Preeti and Karuna Dhiman
College of Horticulture and Forestry, Dr Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Neri-Hamirpur (177001), HP, India
*Corresponding Author's email: reenarattan@gmail.com

Conventional plant breeding, though productive, is constrained by phenotypic variability, prolonged selection cycles and the masking effect of genotype-by-environment interactions. The advent of DNA-based molecular markers has addressed these limitations by providing heritable, reproducible and environment-independent genomic landmarks that can be tracked precisely across generations. This article presents a comprehensive account of molecular marker technology as applied to crop improvement. The major applications discussed include genetic diversity assessment, résistance breeding against biotic stresses, abiotic stress tolerance, hybrid seed purity testing and enhancement of nutritional quality traits..

Introduction

A central challenge in conventional breeding to develop a commercial cultivar is a time consuming and resource-intensive process and further evaluation using phenotypic methods. Molecular markers address these limitations by providing DNA-level polymorphisms that are stable across environments, detectable at any developmental stage and present throughout the entire genome. Molecular markers are broadly grouped based on the type of variation they detect. The first and most widely used group is DNA markers, which detect polymorphisms arising from insertions, deletions or substitutions in the DNA sequence. These include hybridization-based systems such as RFLP, PCR-based systems such as RAPD, SSR, AFLP and ISSR, and sequencing-based systems such as SNPs (Collard et al., 2005). Among various DNA markers, an important distinction exists between dominant and codominant types. Dominant markers such as RAPD, AFLP and ISSR can detect only the presence or absence of a specific allele and are unable to differentiate between homozygous dominant and heterozygous individuals, thereby providing less genotypic information per locus. Codominant markers such as RFLP, SSR, SNP and CAPS detect both alleles at a locus and can clearly distinguish homozygous dominant, homozygous recessive and heterozygous genotypes, making them considerably more informative and the preferred choice for applications requiring precise genotyping, such as marker-assisted backcrossing, genetic mapping and hybrid purity testing. For a DNA marker to be considered ideal for crop improvement applications, it should fulfil several criteria such as high polymorphism, even distribution across the genome, codominant expression, and clear discrimination between alleles, reproducibility, simple and automatable detection, and compatibility with multiplexing to allow data sharing across laboratories (Nadeem et al., 2018).

Applications of Molecular Markers in Crop Improvement

Hybrid Seed Purity Testing

SSR and SNP markers can unambiguously distinguish true hybrids from self-pollinated parental contaminants because they detect allelic differences independent of environmental conditions — a clear advantage over morphological assessments that may be confounded by growing conditions (Ince and Karaca, 2019; Soriano, 2020). SSRs are particularly favoured

owing to their high polymorphism and codominant inheritance, enabling precise differentiation of homozygous and heterozygous genotypes. RAPD and AFLP markers offer a cost-effective complementary option in resource-limited contexts. Beyond simple purity confirmation, marker-based purity testing also provides data on the genetic diversity of the seed lot itself, helping ensure sufficient variability for environmental resilience.

Genetic Diversity Evaluation

Characterising genetic diversity within and between crop species and accessions is a prerequisite for effective germplasm management and the rational selection of parental lines. SSR markers are particularly favorable for this purpose given their codominant inheritance, high polymorphism content and even genomic distribution. They have been deployed in diversity analyses of mungbean, cotton and barley, among many other crops (Ferreira et al., 2016). RAPD markers offer a complementary approach that requires no prior sequence knowledge and is therefore useful for species level differentiation (Ullah et al., 2023). ISSR markers have similarly contributed to diversity assessments in crops such as eggplant (Demir et al., 2010).

Resistance Breeding

Molecular markers enable the precise introgression of resistance genes from donor parents into elite cultivar backgrounds, eliminating the need for extensive disease nurseries at every generation of selection. Wild relatives of crop have proved an especially valuable reservoir of novel resistance alleles for marker-assisted programmes (Mammadov et al., 2018). SNP markers at the rhg1 and Rhg4 loci have been used to select for soybean cyst nematode resistance, while SCAR and CAPS markers have facilitated the transfer of the Mi-1 nematode resistance gene into tomato backgrounds (Concibido et al., 2004). In rice, SSR-linked MABC has delivered bacterial blight-resistant varieties including Improved Pusa Basmati 1 (2007), DRR Dhan 59 and DRR Dhan 62 through the targeted introgression of Xa-family genes. Marker-assisted pyramiding of Yr40 and Lr57 yielded PBW 771 in wheat, SSR markers delivered HHB 67 Improved for downy mildew resistance in pearl millet, and MABC-based foc gene introgression produced Super Annigeri-1 and Pusa Chickpea 20211 for Fusarium wilt resistance in chickpea (Varshney et al., 2014). Gene pyramiding further strengthens biotic stress resistance by stacking multiple loci with distinct mechanisms, as demonstrated by the combination of Pi46 and Pita for broad-spectrum blast resistance in elite rice lines (Peng et al., 2023).

Abiotic and Biotic Stress Tolerance

Abiotic stresses including drought, submergence, salinity and heat impose major constraints on crop productivity globally, and molecular markers have become central tools for developing tolerant varieties. The Sub1A gene for submergence tolerance in rice was fine-mapped using SSR markers and subsequently introgressed into high-yielding backgrounds via MABC, producing Swarna Sub1, IR 64 Sub1 and CR Dhan 803 varieties now grown across millions of flood-prone hectares (Neeraja et al., 2007). The Saltol QTL was tagged and successfully introgressed for seedling-stage salinity tolerance in DRR Dhan 58. Heat stress, increasingly prevalent as temperatures rise, has been addressed through marker-based identification of key thermo tolerance genes in wheat and chickpea (Gaur et al., 2019).

Nutritional Quality Enhancement and Oil Content Improvement

Molecular breeding has enabled targeted improvement of nutritional and industrial quality traits across several crop groups. In maize, opaque-2 linked markers facilitated MABC development of Quality Protein Maize varieties Vivek QPM9 and Pusa HM4 Improved with elevated lysine and tryptophan.Gpc-B1-linked markers improved grain protein and micronutrient density in wheat, and grain protein QTLs identified via genotyping-by-resequencing in rice are being deployed in biofortification programmes. Molecular breeding for quality traits has also improved shelf life and marketability in tomato. For oil quality, ahFAD2-linked markers produced high-oleic groundnut varieties, lox2 and KTi3 null-allele markers yielded improved-flavour soybean varieties NRC 132 and NRC 142 (2021).

Table 1: Marker assisted trait enhancement in different crops

Crop Group	Category	Trait Enhancement	Reference
Wheat	Cereal	SSR/SNP for stripe rust (Yr18/Lr34), semi-dwarfism (Rht-B1b, Rht-D1b) and grain protein (Gpc-B1) via MAS	Lagudah et al. (2009)
Rice	Cereal	MABC for submergence tolerance (Sub1A); pyramiding of Pi genes (blast) and Xa genes for bacterial blight resistance	Neeraja et al. (2007)
Maize	Cereal	SSR QTL mapping for drought tolerance; genomic selection with SNP arrays for yield and stress tolerance	Ribaut & Ragot (2007)
Chickpea	Pulse	SSR markers for Fusarium wilt resistance via MABC; SNP-GWAS for Ascochyta blight and drought QTLs	Varshney et al. (2014)
Soybean	Pulse/Oilseed	SNP at rhg1 and Rhg4 for cyst nematode resistance; SSR/SNP for seed protein and oil content QTLs	Concibido et al. (2004)
Tomato	Vegetable	CAPS/SSR for TYLCV resistance (Ty-1, Ty-3); SCAR markers for Mi-1, I-2; fruit quality QTLs; MABC for shelf life	Ji et al. (2009)
Apple	Fruit	RAPD/SSR flanking Vf for scab resistance via MABC; markers for fire blight and powdery mildew	Tartarini & Sansavini (2003)
Rose	Flower	SSR/AFLP for Rdr1 (black spot resistance) via MABC; QTL maps for flower colour and fragrance	Debener & Linde (2009)
Lentil	Pulse	SNP-GBS for rust and Ascochyta blight resistance QTLs; GWAS for seed size and days-to-flower	Bett et al. (2016)
Banana	Fruit	SSR/SNP for Fusarium wilt (TR4) resistance; DArTseq for genomic selection in polyploid accessions	Dale et al. (2017)
Mustard	Oilseed	SNP arrays for blackleg resistance (Rlm genes); GWAS for oil content, fatty acid profile and flowering time	Gabur et al. (2020)
Chrysanthemum	Flower	SSR/ISSR for cultivar ID; MAS for powdery mildew resistance and early-flowering	Kalia et al. (2011)

Conclusion

Molecular markers have fundamentally transformed crop improvement by providing precise, reproducible tools. From RFLP to SNP, each successive generation has offered greater throughput, lower cost and broader genomic coverage. Their successful application across cereals, pulses, vegetables, fruits and ornamental flowers underscores universal utility regardless of trait complexity or crop reproductive biology. With the advent of next generation technology sequencing costs continue to decline, marker-based approaches will become routine in orphan crops and developing-country programmes, accelerating delivery of improved varieties capable of feeding a growing population under changing climatic conditions.

References

1. Collard, B.C.Y. and Mackill, D.J. (2008). Marker-assisted selection: an approach for precision plant breeding in the twenty-first century. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, **363**: 557-572.
2. Dormatey, R., Sun, C., Ali, K., Coulter, J.A., Bi, Z. and Bai, J. (2020). Gene pyramiding for sustainable crop improvement against biotic and abiotic stresses. *Agronomy*, **10**(9): 1255.
3. Mammadov, J., Buyyarapu, R., Guttikonda, S.K., Parliament, K., Abdurakhmonov, I.Y. and Kumpatla, S.P. (2018). Wild relatives of maize, rice, cotton, and soybean: treasure troves for tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **9**: 886.
4. Nadeem, M.A., Nawaz, M.A., Shahid, M.Q., Dogan, Y., Comertpay, G., Yildiz, M. et al. (2018). DNA molecular markers in plant breeding: current status and recent advancements in genomic selection and genome editing. *Biotechnology and Biotechnological Equipment*, **32**: 261-285.
5. Neeraja, C.N., Maghirang-Rodriguez, R., Pamplona, A., Heuer, S., Collard, B.C.Y. et al. (2007). A marker-assisted backcross approach for developing submergence-tolerant rice cultivars. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, **115**: 767-776.
6. Prasanna, B.M., Palacios-Rojas, N., Hossain, F., Muthusamy, V., Menkir, A., Dhliwayo, T. et al. (2020). Molecular breeding for nutritionally enriched maize: status and prospects. *Frontiers in Genetics*, **10**: 1392.
7. Rasheed, A., Hao, Y., Xia, X., Khan, A., Xu, Y., Varshney, R.K. and He, Z. (2017). Crop breeding chips and genotyping platforms: progress, challenges, and perspectives. *Molecular Plant* **10**: 1047-1064.
8. Varshney, R.K., Bohra, A., Yu, J., Graner, A., Zhang, Q. and Sorrells, M.E. (2021). Designing future crops: genomics-assisted breeding comes of age. *Trends in Plant Science*, **26**: 631-649.
9. Varshney, R.K., Terauchi, R. and McCouch, S.R. (2014). Harvesting the promising fruits of genomics: applying genome sequencing technologies to crop breeding. *PLoS Biology*, **12**: e1001883.