AGRI MAGAZINE
(International E-Magazine for Agricultural Articles)
Volume: 03, Issue: 05 (May, 2026)
Available online at http://www.agrimagazine.in

Blast Disease of Rice: Causes and Prevention Methods

Dr. Meghaa Sharma[1] and *Sri Vani[2]
[1]Assistant Professor, Faculty of Agriculture, Jagannath University, Jaipur, India
[2]Student, B.Sc. (Hons.) Agriculture, Jagannath University, Jaipur, India
*Corresponding Author's email: allusrivani630@gmail.com

Rice blast disease, caused by the fungus Magnaporthe oryzae (anamorph: Pyricularia oryzae), is considered the most destructive disease of rice worldwide [1]. Severe yield losses ranging from 10 to 100 percent are reported in susceptible varieties when environmental conditions favor disease development [2]. The disease is prevalent in over 85 countries and poses a significant threat to global food security, particularly in Asia where rice is a staple crop [3]. Economic losses due to rice blast are estimated to destroy enough rice each year to feed approximately 60 million people, underscoring the critical need for effective management strategies [4].

Causes of Rice Blast

Causal Organism

Magnaporthe oryzae is an ascomycete fungus belonging to the order Magnaporthales, responsible for causing blast disease on all above-ground parts of the rice plant [5].
The fungus produces pyriform to obclavate conidia that are three-celled and hyaline, which serve as the primary infectious propagules under favorable conditions [1].
A remarkable degree of genetic variability is exhibited by the pathogen, resulting in a large number of distinct races that differ in their virulence patterns on rice cultivars [6].

Disease Cycle

The disease cycle is initiated when conidia land on susceptible host tissue and germinate under conditions of adequate moisture and temperature [7].
A specialized infection structure called the appressorium is formed by the germinating conidium, generating enormous turgor pressure to penetrate the rice cuticle mechanically [5].
Colonization of host tissue is followed by the production of new conidia within four to five days, enabling rapid disease spread within and between fields [2].
The fungus survives in infected rice straw, seeds, and soil debris between growing seasons, serving as primary inoculum sources for subsequent crop cycles [8].

Environmental Factors Favoring Disease

Optimal disease development is favored by temperatures ranging between 24°C and 28°C combined with relative humidity above 90 percent [7].
Leaf wetness periods of six hours or more are required for successful spore germination and infection, making nighttime dew a critical factor in epidemic development [6].
Excessive application of nitrogenous fertilizers is strongly correlated with increased susceptibility to blast, as lush vegetative growth provides favorable conditions for fungal colonization [3].

Symptoms and Diagnosis

Diamond-shaped or spindle-shaped lesions with gray or white centers and brown to reddish-brown borders are the characteristic foliar symptoms of rice blast [1].
Neck blast, the most devastating form of the disease, results in the rotting of the panicle base and causes the head to droop, a symptom commonly referred to as 'rotten neck' [9].

Node blast is identified by the presence of blackened and collapsed nodes on the culm, which leads to lodging and complete panicle death in severe infections [2].
Collar blast results in rotting at the junction of the leaf blade and leaf sheath, eventually causing the entire leaf to wither and die [8].

Prevention and Management Strategies

Host Resistance

The development and deployment of blast-resistant varieties is considered the most economical and environmentally sustainable approach to managing rice blast [10].
Resistance genes such as Pi-ta, Pi-b, Pi-k, and Pi-9 have been identified and incorporated into improved rice varieties through conventional and molecular breeding programs [6].
Multiline varieties and varietal mixtures are employed to reduce the rate of disease progress by limiting the spread of single virulent pathogen races across the entire crop [11].

Chemical Control

Systemic fungicides belonging to the strobilurin and triazole chemical groups are widely employed for blast management when disease pressure exceeds economic threshold levels [12].
Tricyclazole, propiconazole, and azoxystrobin are among the most commonly recommended fungicides for field application against M. oryzae in major rice-growing regions [5].
Seed treatment with systemic fungicides such as carbendazim or captan is practiced to reduce seedborne inoculum and protect the young seedlings during the early growth stages [4].

Cultural and Agronomic Practices

Judicious application of nitrogen fertilizers in split doses is recommended to avoid excessive vegetative growth, which significantly predisposes the crop to blast infection [3].
Proper field sanitation including the destruction of infected stubble and crop residues is enforced to reduce the primary inoculum load for the following crop season [8].
Maintaining optimal plant spacing to improve canopy aeration is recommended as it reduces the humidity within the crop stand, creating conditions less favorable for fungal sporulation [7].
Use of certified disease-free seeds and hot-water seed treatment at 52°C for 10 minutes are established practices for reducing seedborne blast inoculum before planting [9].

Biological Control

Biocontrol agents such as Trichoderma harzianum, Pseudomonas fluorescens, and Bacillus subtilis have demonstrated significant antagonistic activity against M. oryzae under field conditions [10].
Induction of systemic resistance in rice plants through the application of plant activators such as silicon and salicylic acid has shown promise as an eco-friendly blast management strategy [11].

References

1. Ou, S. H. (1985). Rice Diseases. 2nd ed. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, UK.
2. Bonman, J. M. (1992). Durable Resistance to Rice Blast Disease. Euphytica, 63, 45–55.
3. Valent, B., & Khang, C. H. (2010). Recent advances in rice blast effector research. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 13(4), 434–441.
4. Skamnioti, P., & Gurr, S. J. (2009). Against the grain: safeguarding rice from rice blast disease. Trends in Biotechnology, 27(3), 141–150.
5. Dean, R. A. et al. (2005). The genome sequence of the rice blast fungus Magnaporthe grisea. Nature, 434, 980– 986.
6. Kang, S. et al. (2016). Genome organization and evolution of the rice blast fungus. Nature Genetics, 48, 1067– 1077.
7. Kim, S. G. et al. (2009). Epidemiology of rice blast in Korea. Korean Journal of Plant Pathology, 25, 120–130.

8. Prabhu, A. S. et al. (2009). Blast Management in Upland Rice. Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira, 44, 1–10.
9. Wang, G. L., & Valent, B. (2009). Advances in breeding for disease resistance. Annual Review of Phytopathology, 47, 453–465.
10. Zhu, Y. et al. (2000). Genetic diversity and disease control in rice. Nature, 406, 718–722.
11. Naqvi, S. A. H. (2004). Diseases of Fruits and Vegetables: Diagnosis and Management. Springer, Netherlands.
12. Ploetz, R. C. (2003). Diseases of Tropical Fruit Crops. CABI Publishing, UK.