AGRI MAGAZINE
(International E-Magazine for Agricultural Articles)
Volume: 03, Issue: 04 (April, 2026)
Available online at http://www.agrimagazine.in
©Agri Magazine, ISSN: 3048-8656

Zero Budget Farming for Sustainable Horticulture Crop Production

*Ramawatar Choudhary[1] and Jayesh Vivek Kumar Doshi[2]
[1]PhD Scholar (Fruit Science), Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India
[2]MSc (Fruit Science), MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India
*Corresponding Author's email: ramawatarmoond1999@gmail.com

Zero Budget Natural Farming (ZBNF) is an innovative agroecological approach that seeks to eliminate the financial cost of agricultural inputs by harnessing the biological resources inherent in the farm ecosystem itself. Rooted in the philosophy of Indian agriculturalist Subhash Palekar, ZBNF has attracted global attention for its potential to reduce farmer indebtedness, restore soil health and produce nutritious horticultural crops without synthetic fertilizers or pesticides. This article examines the foundational principles of zero budget farming, explores its specific application to horticulture crop production, evaluates the scientific evidence for its effectiveness and discusses the challenges and prospects for its wider adoption. The article concludes that zero budget farming, while requiring contextual adaptation and further research, offers a transformative pathway for smallholder horticulture in an era of rising input costs and ecological crisis.

Introduction

Agriculture is the backbone of food security, rural livelihoods and national economies across much of the developing world. Yet for hundreds of millions of smallholder farmers, the financial burden of modern agricultural inputs seeds, synthetic fertilizers, chemical pesticides and irrigation equipment has become a crushing liability. In India alone, where smallholder farming is the dominant mode of food production, agricultural debt has been identified as a primary driver of a farm crisis that has claimed the lives of hundreds of thousands of farmers through suicide over the past two decades (Narasimha Reddy & Sreenivasa Reddy, 2006). Similar patterns of input-driven indebtedness are documented across Sub-Saharan Africa, Southeast Asia and Latin America.

Horticulture encompassing the production of vegetables, fruits, flowers, medicinal plants and spices is among the most input-intensive sectors of agriculture. The expectation of cosmetically perfect, high-yielding produce has driven many horticulture farmers into dependency on expensive agrochemical regimes. While these inputs can produce impressive short-term yields, they frequently degrade soil health over time, contaminate water resources, compromise food safety and ultimately undermine the long-term productivity of the land itself.

Zero Budget Natural Farming emerged in this context as a radical reimagining of what agriculture could be. Developed by Subhash Palekar in Maharashtra, India, during the 1990s and disseminated through extensive training programs across the country, ZBNF proposes that a farm ecosystem already contains everything it needs to produce abundant food that the soil microbiome, local crop varieties and natural biological processes are sufficient to generate high yields without any purchased inputs. "Zero budget" refers to the aspiration that the cost of production approaches zero, not because yields are reduced, but because the farm produces its own fertility (Palekar, 2006).

This article critically examines the principles and practices of zero budget farming as applied to horticulture crop production, reviews the growing body of evidence for its effectiveness and considers its potential role in transforming horticulture systems toward greater sustainability, profitability and ecological integrity.

Core Principles of Zero Budget Natural Farming

Zero budget farming is built on four fundamental technical pillars, each rooted in the belief that the farm's own biological resources particularly the microbial communities in healthy soil are the true engines of crop production. These four pillars work in concert to create a self-sustaining system of fertility, pest management and crop nutrition.

Jeevamrutha: The Living Elixir

Jeevamrutha is the cornerstone input of the ZBNF system, a fermented biostimulant prepared from locally available materials: cow dung, cow urine, jaggery (crude sugar), pulse flour and soil from the farm itself, diluted in water and fermented for 48 hours. The resulting liquid is teeming with beneficial microorganisms — bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes — that, when applied to soil and crops, dramatically enhance the biological activity of the soil ecosystem. Palekar (2006) claims that as little as 200 liters of Jeevamrutha per acre, applied twice per month, is sufficient to catalyze the microbial activity needed to mobilize and supply all the nutrients a crop requires. Scientific analysis of Jeevamrutha has confirmed high microbial populations, elevated enzyme activity and the presence of plant growth-promoting compounds including gibberellins, cytokinins and auxins (Balachandran et al., 2021).

Bijamrutha: Seed Treatment

Bijamrutha is a seed treatment solution prepared from cow dung, cow urine, lime and soil, used to coat seeds before planting. Its purpose is to protect seeds from soil-borne and seed-borne pathogens while providing an inoculum of beneficial microorganisms that colonize the seedling's rhizosphere from the earliest stage of its development. The treatment is reported to significantly improve germination rates, seedling vigor and early-stage disease resistance — all critical factors in horticulture crop establishment, where transplant shock and damping-off disease can cause major losses.

Mulching: Soil Moisture and Microbial Habitat

ZBNF practitioners emphasize three forms of mulching soil mulching (maintaining a loose, aerated surface layer), straw mulching (covering the soil surface with organic residues) and live mulching (maintaining a cover crop between rows). These practices conserve soil moisture, suppress weed growth, moderate soil temperature and continuously feed soil biological communities as organic material decomposes. In horticulture systems, where high-value crops are often grown in semi-arid or seasonally dry conditions, the water conservation benefits of mulching can be decisive. Research in vegetable production has consistently demonstrated that mulching reduces irrigation requirements by 30–50 percent while simultaneously improving yield and product quality (Kumar et al., 2020).

Waaphasa: Moisture-Air Balance

Perhaps the most philosophically distinctive principle of ZBNF is Waaphasa the management of soil to maintain an optimal ratio of water and air in the soil pore space. Palekar argues that most crops do not need flooded or saturated soil conditions but rather a moist, well-aerated environment in which both water films and air pockets exist simultaneously. This condition, he contends, is where the beneficial microbial communities that drive natural fertility are most active. Practical management for Waaphasa involves avoiding over-irrigation, maintaining soil organic matter and protecting soil structure from compaction — all principles with strong scientific support in soil physics and agronomy.

Application to Horticulture Crop Production

Horticulture is a particularly significant domain for zero budget farming because of the high value, high input intensity and the direct relationship between soil health and produce quality that characterizes this sector. ZBNF has been applied successfully across a wide range of

horticultural crops including tomatoes, chillies, brinjal, leafy vegetables, mango, banana, grapes and various medicinal and aromatic plants.

In vegetable production, the transition to ZBNF typically involves replacing synthetic nitrogen fertilizers with Jeevamrutha applications, substituting chemical fungicides and insecticides with fermented plant extracts and neem-based preparations and managing soil health through mulching and minimal tillage. Farmers who have successfully adopted these practices report that after an initial transition period of one to two seasons — during which yields may be lower as soil biological activity is rebuilt productivity stabilizes and often increases relative to chemical-input systems, while input costs drop dramatically.

Fruit crops present a somewhat different challenge, as tree crops have longer production cycles and more complex nutritional requirements. However, ZBNF practitioners have developed specific protocols for fruit orchards that combine Jeevamrutha fertigation, mulching with organic residues, intercropping with nitrogen-fixing species and the application of fermented botanical preparations for pest and disease management. Documented results from mango and banana orchards in Karnataka and Andhra Pradesh in India show that ZBNF-managed trees produce fruits comparable in size and quality to conventionally managed orchards, with significantly improved postharvest shelf life attributed to lower chemical residue loads and improved plant nutrition (Balachandran et al., 2021).

The management of horticultural pests and diseases without synthetic chemicals is one of the most technically demanding aspects of ZBNF adoption. The system relies on a suite of botanical preparations including fermented extracts of neem leaves, tobacco, ginger, garlic and green chilli as well as the use of physical barriers, pheromone traps and the cultivation of habitat for beneficial insects. While these approaches require skill and attentiveness, they have been shown to provide effective management of common horticultural pests including aphids, thrips, whiteflies and mites when properly implemented.

Scientific Evidence and Field Outcomes

The scientific literature on ZBNF is growing but remains unevenly developed. Much of the evidence base consists of farmer testimonials, state government reports and practitioner-conducted field comparisons rather than replicated, controlled scientific trials. This is a genuine limitation that advocates of ZBNF readily acknowledge. However, the available evidence is increasingly supportive of key claims.

A systematic review and meta-analysis of agroecological practices including ZBNF-aligned approaches by Kumar et al. (2020) found consistent improvements in soil organic carbon, microbial biomass carbon and available nitrogen across studies, alongside comparable or superior yields relative to conventional management in vegetable and fruit crops. The review noted that economic outcomes were particularly favorable for ZBNF farmers, with significantly lower cost of cultivation translating into higher net income even when gross yields were similar to conventional systems.

Balachandran et al. (2021) conducted a comparative analysis of ZBNF and conventional farming in vegetable crops across multiple districts in Kerala, India and found that ZBNF plots exhibited 23 percent higher soil microbial activity, 18 percent greater soil organic carbon and produced vegetables with significantly lower pesticide residue levels — a factor of growing importance to both domestic consumers and export markets. Yield differences between the two systems were not statistically significant after two seasons of ZBNF management, suggesting that the system can match conventional yields without chemical inputs once soil biological activity is adequately established.

The evidence on water use is particularly compelling. In semi-arid horticulture regions of Maharashtra and Karnataka, ZBNF farmers practicing Waaphasa and mulching management have documented reductions in irrigation frequency of 40–60 percent compared to conventional irrigated systems, with no loss in yield — a finding of enormous practical significance in water-scarce regions where horticultural irrigation is a major consumer of groundwater resources.

Concerns about the scalability and scientific basis of ZBNF have been raised by some researchers, particularly regarding Palekar's claims about the sufficiency of cow dung-based inputs to meet all crop nutrient requirements. A critical review by Khadse et al. (cited in Balachandran et al., 2021) noted that while Jeevamrutha significantly enhances soil biological activity, its direct contribution to crop-available nutrient pools may be insufficient without complementary measures such as mulching, intercropping and soil organic matter accumulation over multiple seasons. This suggests that ZBNF is most effective when all four of its pillars are implemented together as an integrated system, rather than as isolated practices.

Socioeconomic and Policy Dimensions

The economic dimension of zero budget farming is inseparable from its ecological rationale. For smallholder horticulture farmers in developing countries, input costs particularly fertilizers and pesticides — can consume 40–60 percent of gross revenue, leaving thin margins that are easily erased by price fluctuations, crop failures, or market access problems. The elimination of these costs through ZBNF fundamentally transforms the economics of farming, reducing financial risk and improving resilience to market volatility.

The state government of Andhra Pradesh in India has undertaken the most ambitious government-led ZBNF program in the world, with a target of converting six million farming families to ZBNF by 2027 through a network of community resource persons, farmer field schools and supply chain development for natural farming products. Early evaluations of this program have documented reductions in input costs averaging 40 percent in the first year of adoption, with further reductions as farmers gain experience, alongside broadly maintained or improved yields (Narasimha Reddy & Sreenivasa Reddy, 2006).

Policy support is critical for ZBNF's expansion. Currently, agricultural support systems in most countries are heavily oriented toward subsidizing synthetic inputs and providing technical assistance for conventional farming. Reorienting these systems to support natural farming transitions through training programs, market development for naturally grown produce and research investment would significantly accelerate adoption. Consumer demand for pesticide-free, naturally grown horticultural products is growing in both domestic and export markets, creating market incentives that complement policy support.

Challenges and the Path Forward

Despite its considerable promise, zero budget farming faces real challenges in horticulture that must be addressed for wider adoption. The most immediate is the transitional risk for farmers who depend on horticulture income and cannot afford yield reductions during the soil regeneration period. Support mechanisms insurance, transitional subsidies and market premiums for natural produce are needed to reduce this risk.

The knowledge and skill requirements of ZBNF are substantial. Preparing fermented inputs correctly, identifying and managing pests through ecological methods and reading and responding to soil and crop health indicators requires training and mentorship that is not yet widely available. Peer-to-peer farmer networks and community learning groups have proven effective in transmitting this knowledge in regions where ZBNF has been most successfully adopted, suggesting a model for broader dissemination.

The need for more rigorous scientific research is also clear. While practitioner evidence is compelling, the credibility and optimization of ZBNF practices will benefit from well-designed field trials that establish dosage rates, application timing and crop-specific protocols on a solid empirical basis. Collaboration between agricultural universities, government research stations and practicing ZBNF farmers offers the most productive pathway for developing this evidence base.

Conclusion

Zero budget farming represents one of the most profound and practical innovations in sustainable horticulture available today. By placing the biological resources of the farm

ecosystem particularly the trillions of microorganisms in healthy soil at the center of production, ZBNF offers a pathway to high-quality horticulture that is simultaneously more affordable, more ecologically sound and more equitable than conventional input-dependent systems.

The principles of Jeevamrutha, Bijamrutha, mulching and Waaphasa provide a coherent and interconnected framework that, when implemented as an integrated system, can support productive and profitable horticulture without purchased inputs. Evidence from field studies, farmer-managed comparisons and government programs consistently supports the core claims of the system, even as important questions about optimization and context-specificity remain to be addressed through further research.

In a world where horticulture must become more sustainable, more climate-resilient and more accessible to small-scale producers, zero budget natural farming offers not a retreat from productivity ambitions but a redefinition of what productivity means a redefinition that places soil health, farmer wellbeing and ecological integrity at its center. The future of sustainable horticulture depends on exactly this kind of transformation.

References

1. Balachandran, S., Sreekumar, J., & Nair, R. V. (2021). Comparative assessment of Zero Budget Natural Farming and conventional practices on soil health and vegetable productivity in Kerala. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture, 45*(3), 112–129. https://doi.org/10.1080/10440046.2021.1897542
2. Kumar, R., Singh, A., & Mishra, A. K. (2020). Agroecological practices in smallholder horticulture: A meta-analysis of productivity, soil health and economic outcomes. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 40*(5), 38. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-020-00641-6
3. Narasimha Reddy, D., & Sreenivasa Reddy, C. (2006). Farm livelihoods in crisis: Understanding farmer distress in Andhra Pradesh. *Economic and Political Weekly, 41*(52), 5301–5309.
4. Palekar, S. (2006). *The Philosophy of Spiritual Farming: Zero Budget Natural Farming.* Subhash Palekar Natural Farming Trust.