



Antinutrients in Legumes: Nature, Effects and Mitigation

* Khanin Pathak¹ and Mrinal Choudhury²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Biochemistry, SCS College of Agriculture, Bahalpur, Dhubri-783376, India

²Assistant Professor, Department of Soil Science, SCS College of Agriculture, Bahalpur, Dhubri-783376, India

*Corresponding Author's email: khanin.pathak@aau.ac.in

Legumes, chickpeas, soybeans, peas, and beans are rich in plant-based protein, fiber, vitamins, and minerals, making them essential components of vegetarian and sustainable diets. However, they also include a number of antinutritional components that might hinder nutrient absorption and bioavailability. Antinutrients are naturally occurring chemicals that can interfere with the absorption and utilization of vital nutrients; yet, when ingested in moderation, they can also have health benefits. Phytates, for example, are known to chelate minerals like iron, zinc, and calcium and lower their bioavailability, but they also demonstrate antioxidant and anticancer effects by reducing lipid peroxidation and cancer cell proliferation. These antinutrients, which occur naturally, might affect digestion or interfere with vital nutrient absorption if taken in excessive quantities without sufficient processing.

Major antinutrients found in legumes

Phytates (Phytic Acid)

Legumes' seeds contain substances called phytates that store phosphorus. They can bind important minerals like iron, zinc, calcium, and magnesium, lowering their bioavailability in the human gut. Phytate is known to form insoluble complexes with minerals, thus reducing their absorption in the intestine.

Tannins

Tannins are polyphenolic chemicals that bind to proteins and digestive enzymes, limiting protein digestibility and food nutritional value. Condensed tannins interfere with protein digestibility and enzyme activity, reducing nutrition utilization.

Lectins

Lectins are carbohydrate-binding proteins that may resist digestion and harm the gut lining, causing malabsorption and gastrointestinal discomfort. Lectins are known to bind to gut epithelial cells, which can cause inflammation and interfere with nutrition absorption.

Trypsin Inhibitors

These chemicals block trypsin, an enzyme required for protein breakdown in the small intestine, limiting protein availability. According to the researcher the trypsin inhibitors impair the biological value of dietary proteins by suppressing enzymatic activity.

Saponins

Saponins can reduce the permeability of intestinal membranes and disrupt normal nutrition intake. They are also recognized for their bitter flavor and hemolytic properties. Saponins have antinutritional activity, especially since they interfere with food absorption and can be hazardous at high quantities.

Reduction and Elimination of Antinutrients

Processing techniques such as soaking, germination, and fermentation can significantly reduce the levels of antinutrients in legumes (Table 1)

Table 1: Different traditional food processing methods for reduction of antinutritional factors

Technique	Removes/Reduces
Soaking	Phytates, tannins
Germination	Phytates, tannins, trypsin inhibitors
Boiling	Lectins, trypsin inhibitors
Fermentation	Phytates, tannins, lectins
Roasting	Tannins, saponins
Enzyme Treatment	Phytates
Dehulling	Tannins, phytates

Conclusion

Antinutrients in legumes are natural chemicals that can interfere with nutrient absorption, although they can be substantially reduced with correct cooking and preparation techniques. Some antinutrients have a complex role in human nutrition; they are not just harmful; they can also have protective health effects. Understanding and implementing optimal processing procedures ensures that legumes' full nutritious potential is reached without negative consequences.

References

1. Gilani, G. S., Cockell, K. A., & Sepehr, E. (2012). Effects of antinutritional factors on protein digestibility and amino acid availability in foods. *British Journal of Nutrition*, **108**, S315–S332.
2. Hurrell, R., & Egli, I. (2010). Iron bioavailability and dietary reference values. *International Journal for Vitamin and Nutrition Research*, **80**(4-5), 264–269.
3. Makkar, H. P. S. (2003). Effects and fate of tannins in ruminant animals, adaptation to tannins, and strategies to overcome detrimental effects of feeding tannin-rich feeds. *Small Ruminant Research*, **49**, 241–256.
4. Mubarak, A. E. (2005). Nutritional composition and antinutritional factors of mung bean seeds (*Phaseolus aureus*) as affected by some home traditional processes. *Food Chemistry*, **89**(4), 489–495.
5. Price, K. R., Johnson, I. T., & Fenwick, G. R. (1987). The chemistry and biological significance of saponins in foods and feedingstuffs. *CRC Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, **26**(1), 27–135.
6. Pusztai, A., & Bardocz, S. (1996). Lectins: Biomedical perspectives. *British Journal of Nutrition*, **75**, 3–14.