AGRI MAGAZINE
(International E-Magazine for Agricultural Articles)
Volume: 02, Issue: 07 (July, 2025)
Available online at http://www.agrimagazine.in

Enhancing Nutritional Value in Vegetables: Modern Approaches to Biofortification for Micronutrient Security

*Arepalli Dinesh Kumar[1] and Shashank K[2]
[1]Extension Field Assistant, Godrej Agrovet Pvt.Ltd, Zaheerabad, Telangana, India
[2]M.Sc. Scholar, Division of Vegetable Science, ICAR-IARI, New Delhi, India
*Corresponding Author's email: arepallidk@gmail.com

Micronutrient deficiencies, also known as "hidden hunger", affect billions of people worldwide, particularly in low-income populations with limited access to diverse diets and supplements. Biofortification—the process of enhancing the nutritional quality of food crops—has emerged as a viable and sustainable strategy to address these deficiencies. This article explores diverse methods of vegetable biofortification including agronomic interventions, microbial enrichment, nanotechnology, genetic modification, and marker-assisted breeding. The effectiveness, opportunities, and limitations of each method are discussed, along with notable crop-specific examples. This review also highlights the role of international collaborations in promoting biofortification to meet the global demand for health-focused agriculture.

Keywords: biofortification, vegetable nutrition, micronutrient deficiency, nanotechnology, microbial biofortification, genetic engineering, breeding strategies, sustainable agriculture

Introduction: Addressing Hidden Hunger through Crop-Based Solutions

Over 2 billion people suffer from micronutrient malnutrition globally, with iron, zinc, and vitamin A deficiencies among the most common. The aftermath of the Green Revolution prioritized yield over nutritional quality, leaving many populations vulnerable. Biofortification—enriching crops with essential nutrients at the genetic, physiological, or agronomic level—offers a sustainable solution, particularly for populations dependent on staple and vegetable-based diets (WHO, 2023). Vegetables such as spinach, okra, carrots, and amaranth are key dietary sources of vitamins and minerals. Enhancing their nutritional value through biofortification makes them more effective in combating malnutrition. Unlike fortification (which adds nutrients during food processing), biofortification builds nutrition from the soil up, reaching even the most underserved populations.

Nutrient Enhancement Through Agronomic Biofortification

Agronomic biofortification involves applying mineral fertilizers—either to soil or foliage—to boost nutrient uptake in plants. This method is simple, cost-effective, and widely applicable in field conditions.

Examples:

- **Cucumber:** Soil potassium application (11 mM) increased antioxidant levels (Preciado-Rangel et al., 2018).
- **Pumpkin and radish:** Supplementation with selenium enhanced their nutraceutical value (Golob et al., 2020).
- **Carrot:** Foliar application of iodine improved iodine content significantly (Smolen et al., 2019).
- **Purslane (Portulaca oleracea):** Soilless hydroponic systems enriched with boron raised content by up to 10.7-fold without yield reduction (Imperio et al., 2020).

Agronomic biofortification is suitable for short-term interventions but may require repeated application and monitoring for environmental sustainability.

Harnessing Microbial Activity for Nutrient Uptake

Biofertilizers—beneficial microbes such as rhizobacteria and mycorrhizal fungi—enhance nutrient bioavailability by solubilizing minerals and improving root absorption.

Key findings:

- **Garlic (Allium sativum):** Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi increased selenium uptake 10-fold (Larsen et al., 2006).
- **Tomato:** Zinc solubilizing bacteria raised zinc levels from 2.06 to 2.87 mg/100g (Karnwal, 2021a).
- **Okra:** Pseudomonas species enhanced zinc content by 2.85 mg/100g when applied as seed treatment (Karnwal, 2021b).

Microbial biofortification is environmentally friendly and improves soil health, offering long-term benefits over synthetic fertilizers.

Nanotechnology in Vegetable Biofortification

Nanoparticles, due to their small size and high reactivity, can improve nutrient delivery and uptake in crops. This novel approach is gaining popularity for its precision and efficacy.

Notable applications:

- **Tomato:** Selenium nanoparticles (SeNPs) combined with copper nanoparticles increased fruit antioxidant activity and selenium content (Hernández-Hernández et al., 2019).
- **Broccoli:** Treated with SeNPs to enhance selenium accumulation and health properties (Vicas et al., 2019).
- **Green peas and eggplant:** Zinc nanoparticles improved zinc uptake without environmental toxicity (Skiba et al., 2020; Semida et al., 2021).

While promising, concerns about nanoparticle safety and environmental persistence must be addressed before widespread adoption.

Improving Nutrition via Conventional Breeding

Traditional plant breeding is a widely accepted method of biofortification, especially when targeting vitamins and minerals in commonly consumed vegetables.

Successful biofortified cultivars:

- **Cauliflower:** 'Pusa BetaKesari' and 'Orange Cheddar' enriched in β-carotene (Kalia et al., 2016).
- **Sweet potato:** Varieties like 'Bhu Sona' and 'Sree Kanka' bred for high vitamin A content.
- **Pea:** 'Kinnauri' and 'GC 195' developed for increased protein levels (Singh & Singh, 2020).

Conventional breeding ensures consumer acceptance and long-term adoption, but is time-intensive and limited by available genetic diversity.

Marker-Assisted Selection and Precision Breeding

This modern approach merges classical breeding with genetic tools to accelerate the development of nutrient-rich cultivars.

Highlights:

- **Cauliflower:** Integration of the 'Or' gene enhanced β-carotene content to 10–12 ppm (Kalia et al., 2018).
- **Anthocyanin biofortification:** Introgression of 'Pr' gene into varieties like 'Pusa Snowball K-1' elevated antioxidant levels.

This targeted strategy shortens breeding cycles and increases accuracy in trait selection.

Genetic Engineering for Biofortified Crops

When natural variation is lacking, transgenic methods can introduce nutrient-enhancing genes into crops. These methods are crucial for increasing bioavailability and reducing anti-nutrient factors.

Examples:

- **Tomato:** Overexpression of genes like GME and GaLDH improved ascorbic acid levels significantly (Gomathi et al., 2017).
- **Lettuce:** Introduction of soybean ferritin gene raised iron content (Goto et al., 2000).
- **Potato:** Metabolic engineering led to a 12-fold increase in folate content (Lepeleire et al., 2017).
- **Purple Tomato:** Engineered using Delila and Rosea-1 transcription factors to boost anthocyanins (Butelli et al., 2008).

Despite its potential, genetic engineering remains controversial due to regulatory, ethical, and public perception issues.

Strengths, Challenges, and Policy Support for Biofortification

Strengths:

- Long-term, sustainable approach to nutrition
- Reduces reliance on food supplements
- Integrates well with local cropping systems

Challenges:

- Limited awareness among farmers and consumers
- Regulatory barriers for GMO crops
- Need for crop-specific bioavailability research

Policy Recommendations:

- Scale-up programs like HarvestPlus and GAIN
- Promote participatory breeding with farmers
- Strengthen research-extension linkages for better adoption

Conclusion

Biofortification represents a powerful solution to one of the world's most pressing health challenges: micronutrient malnutrition. By enhancing the nutritional profile of vegetables using modern scientific tools—ranging from microbial and agronomic approaches to nanotechnology and genetic engineering—we can create resilient food systems capable of nourishing current and future generations. Continued collaboration among breeders, nutritionists, policymakers, and farmers is essential to realize the full potential of biofortified vegetables in global diets.

References

1. Thakur, V., Sharma, A., Sharma, P., Kumar, P., & Shilpa. (2022). Biofortification of vegetable crops for vitamins, mineral and other quality traits. *The Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology*, *97*(4), 417–428. https://doi.org/10.1080/14620316.2022.2036254
2. WHO. (2023). *Micronutrient deficiencies: Global estimates*. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int
3. Imperio, M. D., et al. (2020). Boron biofortification of purslane through soilless cultivation. *Agronomy*, *10*, 709. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10070999
4. Karnwal, A. (2021a). Zinc solubilizing bacteria in tomato biofortification. *International Journal of Vegetable Science*, *27*, 398–412.
5. Butelli, E., et al. (2008). Enrichment of tomato fruit with anthocyanins by expression of transcription factors. *Nature Biotechnology*, *26*, 1301–1306.
6. Lepeleire, J. D., et al. (2017). Folate biofortification in potato tubers. *Molecular Plant*, *11*, 175–188.
7. Goto, F., et al. (2000). Iron accumulation in transgenic lettuce expressing ferritin. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, *100*, 658–664.
8. Gomathi, M., et al. (2017). Biofortification in vegetable crops – A review. *Chemical Science Review and Letters*, *6*, 1227–1237.

9. Hernández-Hernández, H., et al. (2019). Impact of selenium and copper nanoparticles on tomato quality. *Plants*, *8*(10), 355.
10. Singh, N., & Singh, R. (2020). Nutritional enhancement in pea varieties. *Advances in Horticultural Research*, *12*, 145–152.