

# AGRI MAGAZINE

(International E-Magazine for Agricultural Articles)
Volume: 02, Issue: 07 (July, 2025)

Available online at http://www.agrimagazine.in

\*\*Open Comparison of Com

# Public-Private Partnerships in Strengthening Extension Delivery

\*Sree Leela Yekula and Latha R Hosangadi

M.Sc. in Agricultural Extension, Department of Agricultural Extension, University of Agricultural Science, GKVK, Bangalore, Karnataka, India
\*Corresponding Author's email: yekulasreeleela@gmail.com

To meet the contemporary issues of food security, climate change, and rural poverty, agricultural extension institutions must be transformed. By using the advantages of both public institutions and private players, Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) have become a critical tool for enhancing extension services. The conceptual framework, models, advantages, difficulties, and international experiences related to PPPs in agricultural extension delivery are examined in this research. The study emphasizes how PPPs improve innovation dissemination, input accessibility, market connections, and capacity development by drawing on empirical research and case studies. The governance, funding, accountability, and policy tools that support effective PPPs are also included in the study. PPPs are positioned to transform extension landscapes because to growing interest in multi-stakeholder models, ICT integration, corporate social responsibility, and inclusive value chains. Through successful PPPs, this study offers suggestions for creating extension systems that are egalitarian, robust, and sustainable.

# Overview

Public institutions have historically been in charge of agricultural extension. However, their efficacy has been restricted by poor farmer outreach, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and budget limitations (Anderson & Feder, 2007). However, the private sector often lacks inclusivity and long-term focus, while exhibiting agility, technical innovation, and market response. According to Spielman et al. (2011), PPPs provide a hybrid model that blends the operational effectiveness of the private sector with the social mission of the public sector. The use of PPPs in extension delivery signifies a change from state-led, top-down strategies to more demand-driven, pluralistic ones. The theoretical and practical aspects of PPPs in agricultural extension are examined in this research, with a focus on how they might improve service quality and alleviate structural flaws.

# **Developing Public-Private Collaborations in Extension**

**Types and Definitions:** According to the World Bank (2014), PPPs are institutional agreements between government organizations and private organizations, such as cooperatives, NGOs, agribusinesses, and IT companies, for the delivery of extension services. Examples include: • Contractual partnerships: The government hires private companies to provide services.

# **Justification for PPPs**

- Commercial incentives for private sector outreach into distant regions; Shared risk and investment in agricultural R&D.
- Public supervision to guarantee inclusion and equality.

# **Agricultural Extension PPP Models**

**Models Driven by Agribusiness:** Businesses like Syngenta, Bayer, and ITC work with governments to provide advice services, training, and input packages (Kilelu et al., 2013).

#### **Models Based on ICT**

Through public-private ICT partnerships, mobile platforms such as Tigo Kilimo (Tanzania), iCow (Kenya), and mKisan (India) provide individualized advising services (Aker, 2011).

# Multi-Stakeholder Models Led by NGOs

With the use of development grants and CSR monies, groups like Digital Green and PRADAN act as mediators between communities and governments.

# PPPs Run by the Government

District-level PPPs including private input providers and extension specialists are encouraged under India's ATMA model (Singh et al., 2016).

# PPPs' Advantages for Extension Delivery

#### **Increased Effectiveness and Reach**

Compared to conventional extension, private sector logistics and digital technologies provide for a speedier and more extensive farmer outreach (GFRAS, 2015).

#### **Diffusion of Innovation**

PPPs speed up the adoption of precision agricultural equipment, biofertilizers, and climate-smart technology (Sulaiman & Hall, 2002).

# **Connections to the Market**

By integrating extension into the supply chains for contract farming, inputs, and output marketing, private players increase sustainability and profitability.

# **Capacity Building**

PPP models often include skill development modules, certification programs, and training of trainers (ToT).

# Risks and Difficulties in PPP-Based Extension

#### **Public Good vs. Profit**

Commercial interests may exclude marginal farmers or skew extension content in favor of high-input options (Birner et al., 2009).

# **Concerns about Regulation and Accountability**

Elite capture or service shortages might result from unclear directives, inadequate monitoring mechanisms, and power imbalances.

#### **Issues with Sustainability**

Without integrated income models, PPPs backed by donors or CSR may not last beyond project cycles.

# **Gender Inequalities and Equity**

Technology, literacy, or cultural hurdles may cause landless farmers, women, and tribal populations to be underserved (Quisumbing et al., 2015).

# **Mechanisms for Finance and Governance**

#### **Agreements Under Contract**

To control risks and align incentives, clear memorandums of understanding, deliverables, and departure provisions are essential (OECD, 2012).

# **Models of Cost Sharing**

Ownership and sustainability are guaranteed by hybrid finance, which combines private investment, government subsidies, and farmer fees.

#### **Observation and Assessment**

Transparency and flexibility are guaranteed via feedback loops, impact audits, and third-party evaluations (IFAD, 2016).

# **ICT's Function in PPP Extension Systems**

# **Advisory Systems on the Go**

Applications that provide dynamic advising services, such as Plantix and Krishi Network (India) and Esoko (Ghana), function via public-private partnerships.

# **AI and Big Data Integration Platforms**

Under PPP-based innovation centers, these platforms use machine learning, weather models, and satellite data to provide predictive guidance.

# **Customization and Farmer Input**

Helplines and real-time feedback loops increase customer confidence and service responsiveness.

# **Policy Tools to Encourage PPPs**

# **Reforms in Law and Regulation**

To specify duties, rights, and redressal procedures, PPP-specific laws and regulations are required.

# **Platforms for Institutions**

Formation of steering committees, task teams, and PPP cells at the federal and state levels (GOI, 2020).

#### **Safeguards for Inclusion**

Equality in service delivery is ensured by mandatory inclusion provisions for women, young people, and farmers with little resources.

# **Future Directions and Recommendations**

Strategic Pillar	Recommendation
Institutional	Establish PPP units within extension departments with legal and
Innovation	financial autonomy
Technology	Invest in AI-driven, multilingual, ICT platforms through co-
Integration	development models
Inclusive Targeting	Use digital identity systems (e.g., Aadhaar) to target subsidies and
	services
Monitoring &	Set up real-time M&E systems with open dashboards and third-party
Impact	verification
Capacity Building	Continuous training for both public agents and private facilitators

PPPs can serve as engines of innovation and inclusivity in extension, provided they are embedded in transparent governance systems.

#### Conclusion

The delivery of agricultural extension might be significantly transformed by public-private partnerships. PPPs may close the gap between research and rural reality by combining resources, matching incentives, and using contemporary technology. However, strong institutional structures, democratic governance, and a dedication to the public interest are necessary to achieve equitable, inclusive, and sustainable results. In light of changing global issues, PPPs need to develop agricultural communities' long-term capability, resilience, and trust in addition to providing services effectively.

#### References

- 1. Aker, J. C. (2011). Dial "A" for agriculture: A review of information and communication technologies for agricultural extension. *Agricultural Economics*, 42(6), 631–647.
- 2. Anderson, J. R., & Feder, G. (2007). Agricultural extension. *Handbook of Agricultural Economics*, 3, 2343–2378.
- 3. Birner, R., Davis, K., Pender, J., Nkonya, E., Anandajayasekeram, P., Ekboir, J., ... & Cohen, M. (2009). From best practice to best fit. *IFPRI Discussion Paper 837*.
- 4. Kilelu, C. W., Klerkx, L., Leeuwis, C., & Hall, A. (2013). Beyond knowledge brokering. *Agricultural Systems*, 111, 65–77.
- 5. Quisumbing, A. R., Meinzen-Dick, R., & Njuki, J. (2015). Gender, assets, and market-oriented agriculture. *IFPRI*.

- 6. Singh, K. M., Meena, M. S., & Swanson, B. E. (2016). Public–private partnerships for inclusive agricultural development in India. *International Journal of Extension Education*, 12, 52–63.
- 7. David Spielman & Kristin Davis & Martha Negash & Gezahegn Ayele, 2011. "Rural innovation systems and networks: findings from a study of Ethiopian smallholders," Agriculture and Human Values, Springer; The Agriculture, Food, & Human Values Society (AFHVS), vol. 28(2), pages 195-212, June.
- 8. Sulaiman, R. V., & Hall, A. (2002). Beyond technology dissemination. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*.
- 9. Wiggins, S., & Keats, S. (2014). Public-private partnerships in agricultural value chains. *ODI Reports*.
- 10. FAO. (2016). Public-private partnerships for agribusiness development. *Food and Agriculture Organization*.