



AGRI MAGAZINE

(International E-Magazine for Agricultural Articles)

Volume: 01, Issue: 05 (December, 2024)

Available online at <http://www.agrimagazine.in>

© Agri Magazine, ISSN: 3048-8656

Precision Agriculture: Revolutionizing Modern Farming with Data Driven Techniques

(*Ashish Kumar¹, Kana Ram Choudhary² and Sanjay Seemawat¹)

¹Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Horticulture, RCA (MPUAT), Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

²Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agricultural Economics and Management, RCA (MPUAT), Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

*Corresponding Author's email: ashishchoudhary1528@gmail.com

Precision Agriculture (PA) refers to a set of information technologies aimed at optimizing resource use in farming by minimizing losses and waste while increasing crop yield and reducing input costs. By using tools such as soil sampling, yield data analysis, and automated harvesting practices, PA allows farmers to manage land based on site-specific data rather than average field conditions. This data-driven approach enhances decision-making in technical, economic, and environmental management, helping to maximize the efficiency of inputs like water and fertilizer, while being environmentally conscious (Yost *et al.*, 2017; Paxton *et al.*, 2011; Rodriguez *et al.*, 2017). Various technologies employed in precision agriculture, such as Geographic Information Systems (GIS), Global Positioning Systems (GPS), and Remote Sensing, can be combined spatially, temporally, and economically to support farmers in optimizing land management practices.

Importance in Modern Farming

- Precision agriculture enhances farm management through the integration of advanced technologies like remote sensing, GIS, and GPS.
- It provides farmers with detailed, real-time data on soil, crop health, and environmental conditions.
- Farmers can use this data to apply targeted interventions, such as adjusting fertilizer or irrigation levels.
- This leads to more efficient use of resources, reduced waste, and increased crop yields.
- Precision agriculture allows for continuous monitoring of farm conditions and timely decision-making.

Goals of Precision Agriculture

- 1. Optimize Resource Use:** Efficiently manage inputs like water, fertilizers, and pesticides by applying them only where needed.
- 2. Increase Crop Yields:** Maximize production through precise interventions tailored to specific areas of the field.
- 3. Reduce Costs:** Minimize input costs by using resources more efficiently and avoiding unnecessary applications.
- 4. Enhance Sustainability:** Promote environmentally friendly farming practices by reducing waste, runoff, and overuse of chemicals.
- 5. Improve Decision-Making:** Use real-time data to make informed decisions and quickly respond to changing field conditions.
- 6. Minimize Environmental Impact:** Reduce the ecological footprint of farming by lowering emissions, conserving water, and improving soil health.
- 7. Mitigate Risks:** Identify and address potential issues like pests, diseases, or soil imbalances before they significantly impact crop health.

8. Improve Profitability: Increase overall farm profitability by boosting yields and reducing input expenses.

9. Enhance Crop Quality: Improve the quality of crops by managing conditions more precisely throughout the growing season.

Key Technologies in Precision Agriculture

1. Global Positioning Systems (GPS): In the early stages of precision agriculture, GPS technology was unreliable for dynamic field positioning, with typical accuracy at around 5 meters, often extending to tens of meters due to signal interference from trees, buildings, and multi-path reflections (Stafford & Ambler, 1994). Additionally, the incomplete satellite constellation worsened signal obscuration, making early GPS receivers bulky and expensive (Lachapelle & Henriksen, 1995; Brock & Karakurt, 1999). However, by 2000, GPS had matured significantly. The satellite constellation was fully deployed, and commercial GPS receivers became more affordable and advanced, offering sub-meter accuracy through phase-smoothed positioning. Today, most precision agriculture operations utilize 12-channel GPS receivers that track signals from 8 to 12 satellites simultaneously, providing reliable and precise location data for farming applications.

2. Geographical Information Systems (GIS): Many general-purpose GIS packages, such as ARCVIEW, IDRISI, and SURFER, offer a broad range of functions, but only some are directly relevant to precision agriculture (PA). These packages tend to be expensive and require high-end computer platforms, which are often beyond the reach of most farmers. To meet the specific needs of PA at the field level, various commercial GIS tools, such as those from AGRIS Corporation, Farm Works™, John Deere, and others, has been developed (Ess et al., 1997). These systems can interact with DGPS devices or yield sensors to collect location and yield data in real time, facilitating more efficient farm management. Runquist et al. (2001) developed a field-level GIS (FIS) containing analytical functions for spatial data analysis in PA research.

3. Remote Sensing: Satellite remote sensing has shown great potential for monitoring within-field variability, but challenges such as timeliness, cloud cover, cost, low spatial resolution, and insufficient processing have limited its widespread success. However, hyperspectral sensing, a newer technology, offers more promise by capturing data across a nearly continuous spectrum in the visible, NIR, and MIR wavebands. Hyperspectral imagery has been effectively used for estimating crop vigor and yield, distinguishing between crops, weeds, and soil, and providing quantitative measurements of crop water content and leaf area index. Additionally, the MIR band holds potential for assessing plant nutrient levels and soil properties (Deguise and McNairn, 2000). Remote sensing techniques have been somewhat limited in precision agriculture due to the need for high spatial resolution imagery. However, recent studies have demonstrated various applications of remotely sensed images. These include predicting nitrogen requirements in corn (Scharf and Lory, 2000), estimating cotton lint yield (Li et al., 2000; Hendrickson and Han, 2000), assessing insect damage in wheat (Riedell et al., 2000), detecting spider mites in cotton (Fitzgerald et al., 2000), guiding insecticide applications (Seal et al., 2000), estimating surface soil clay concentration (Chen et al., 2000), detecting weeds (Varner et al., 2000), quantifying hail or wind damage (Erickson et al., 2000), and identifying and classifying anomalies (Carter and Johannsen, 2000).

4. Drones and Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs): In traditional approaches, the availability of accurate real-time data for monitoring construction progress is often limited. However, a smart monitoring system utilizes organized real-time data collected through advanced tools such as drone- or UAV-mounted sensors (e.g., photo/video cameras, thermal imaging, and infrared sensors). This data is processed using advanced software, enabling more efficient operations, better planning, and real-time adjustments. Key applications of drones and UAVs in construction monitoring include 3D map creation, aerial photography and 3D scanning, continuous progress tracking, and volumetric measurement (Cho et al. 2015).

Table 1: The key elements of smart construction monitoring system using drones and UAVs

Real-time data	Advanced data processing	Fine-tuning of the construction
Gathering preliminary information	Construction site preparation	Preparation of visual demonstration items for investors and clients
Work progress control	3D mapping of the area, determination of geophysical parameters	Additional source of data for decision making and improvement measures
Prevention of and control over illegal construction	Creation of panoramic 3D views of streets, neighborhoods, and buildings	Workplace safety and security control and compliance supervision

5. Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Decision Making: The current adoption rate of AI technologies in agriculture remains relatively low, largely due to a lack of understanding about their practical applications. To address this, several studies have identified key AI technologies used in precision agriculture and documented their implementations. These applications are primarily categorized into crop management, water management, and soil management. The studies concluded that the integration of machine learning (ML) technologies will undoubtedly enhance agriculture, allowing Farm Management Systems to evolve into real-time decision support systems (DSS) through the use of AI algorithms (Liakos et al., 2018).

6. Big Data and Machine Learning: In the agriculture field, big data shows a huge potential for solving many challenges of farming and consequently boosting the agriculture production quality and quantity. Big data analytics can be used to determine the soil quality, diseases and pest interruption, water requirement, and can predict harvesting time for crops.

Conclusion

Precision agriculture is revolutionizing farming by integrating cutting-edge technologies like GPS, GIS, drones, remote sensing, and AI. These tools provide farmers with real-time insights into soil, crops, and environmental conditions, enabling precise and informed decision-making. This approach maximizes resource efficiency, boosts yields, and reduces waste while promoting sustainable practices such as targeted fertilizer use, water conservation, and minimized chemical runoff. Advanced technologies like drones and AI offer continuous monitoring, helping farmers address risks like pests and diseases proactively. With innovations like real-time aerial imagery, big data analytics, and predictive tools, precision agriculture improves crop quality and profitability while protecting natural resources. It's the future of farming efficient, sustainable, and transformative.

References

1. Brock R H; Karakurt E (1999). GPS precision and efficiency under variable forest types and crown density. Paper No. 99-5035, American Society of Agricultural Engineers, St Joseph, MI, USA.
2. Carter, P.G., Johannsen, C.J., 2000. Agricultural cropland anomaly classification system for use with remote sensing data. Proceedings of Fifth International Conference on Precision Agriculture (CD), July 16-19, 2000. Bloomington, MN, USA.
3. Chen, F., Kissel, D.E., West, L.T., Adkins, W., 2000. Estimation of soil clay concentration with ATLAS sensor data. Proceedings of Fifth International Conference on Precision Agriculture (CD), July 16-19, 2000. Bloomington, MN, USA.
4. Cho, Y. K., Ham, Y., and Golpavar-Fard, M. (2015). "3D as-is building energy modeling and diagnostics: A review of the state-of-the-art". *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 29(2), 184–195.

5. Deguise, J.C., McNairn, H., 2000. Hyperspectral remote sensing for precision agriculture. Proceedings of Fifth International Conference on Precision Agriculture (CD), July 16-19, 2000. Bloomington, MN, USA.
6. Erickson, B.J., Johannsen, C.J., Vorst, J.J. 2000. Using remote sensing to detect stand loss and defoliation in maize. Proceedings of Fifth International Conference on Precision Agriculture (CD), July 16-19, 2000. Bloomington, MN, USA.
7. Ess, D.R., Parsons, S.D., Strickland, R.M., 1997. Evaluation of commercially-available software for grain yield mapping. ASAE Paper No. 97-1033, American Society of Agricultural Engineers, St. Joseph, MI, USA.
8. Fitzgerald, G.J., Maas, S.J., DeTar, W.R., 2000. Multispectral multitemporal remote sensing for spider mite detection in cotton. Proceedings of Fifth International Conference on Precision Agriculture (CD), July 16-19, 2000. Bloomington, MN, USA.
9. Hendrickson, L.L., Han, S., 2000. A reactive nitrogen management system. Proceedings of Fifth International Conference on Precision Agriculture (CD), July 16-19, 2000. Bloomington, MN, USA.
10. Lachapelle G; Henriksen J (1995). GPS under cover: the effect of foliage on vehicular navigation. *GPS World*, 6(3), 26-35.
11. Li, H., Lascano, R.J., Barnes, E.M., Booker, J., Wilson, L.T., Bronson, K.F., Segarra, E., 2000. Autoregressive analysis of cotton lint yield: plant spectral characteristics and field variability. Proceedings of Fifth International Conference on Precision Agriculture (CD), July 16-19, 2000. Bloomington, MN, USA.
12. Liakos, K.G.; Busato, P.; Moshou, D.; Pearson, S.; Bochtis, D. Machine learning in agriculture: A review. *Sensors* 2018, 18, 2674.
13. Paxton, K.W., Mishra, A.K., Chintawar, S., Roberts, R.K., Larson, J.A., English, B.C., Lambert, D.M., Marra, M.C., Larkin, S.L., Reeves, J.M., Martin, S.W., 2011. Intensity of Precision Agriculture Technology Adoption by Cotton Producers. *Agricultural and Resource Economics Review* 40 (01), 133-144.
14. Rodriguez, S., Gualotuna, T., Grilo, C., 2017. A System for the monitoring and predicting of data in precision agriculture in a rose greenhouse based on wireless sensor networks. *Procedia Computer Science* 121, 306-313.
15. Runquist, S, Zhang, N., Taylor, R., 2001. Development a field-level geographic information system. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 31 (2001), 201-209.
16. Scharf, P.C., Lory, J.A., 2000. Calibration of remotely sensed corn color to predict nitrogen need. Proceedings of Fifth International Conference on Precision Agriculture (CD), July 16-19, 2000. Bloomington, MN, USA.
17. Seal, M.R., Dupont, K., Bethel, M., Johnson, J., Willer, J.L., Hood, K., Hardwick, J., 2000. Development and implementation of large-scale spatially variable insecticide experiments in cotton. Proceedings of Fifth International Conference on Precision Agriculture (CD), July 16-19, 2000. Bloomington, MN, USA.
18. Stafford J V; Ambler B (1994). In-field location using GPS for spatially variable field operations. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 11, 23-36.
19. Varner, B.L., Gress, T.A., Copenhagen, K., Wax, L.M., Spragne, C.L., Tranel, P.J., 2000. Detection of cockleburs (*Xanthium strumarium* L.) in soybeans using hyperspectral imagery. Proceedings of Fifth International Conference on Precision Agriculture (CD), July 16-19, 2000. Bloomington, MN, USA.
20. Yost, M., Kitchen, N., Sudduth, K., Sadler, E., Drummond, S., Volkmann, M., 2017. Longterm impact of a precision agriculture system on grain crop production. *Precision Agriculture* 18 (5), 823–842.